

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/972,362	AHMAD ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	KAMAL DIVECHA	2451	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) KAMAL DIVECHA.

(2) DAVID VICTOR.

**Date of Interview:** 2 June 2011

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*NONE*

Claims discussed:

*31-37,47-48*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Status of Application:** Allowance

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 4:21PM

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*The potential 35 USC 101 rejection with respect to claims 31-37 were discussed, and it was authorized by the applicant representative to include the term "non-transitory" to make the claim A non-transitory computer readable storage medium.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  

/KAMAL B DIVECHA/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2451

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)